PATENT 37-02

Attorney Docket No. 43397

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:
ANINDYA CHAKRABORTY

Group Art Unit: 2663

Application No. 09/813,576

Examiner: HYUN, SOON D

Filed: March 21, 2001

For: Method And Apparatus For A Combined Bulk and Transactional

Database Synchronous Scheme

RECEIVED

MAR 0 1 2002

Technology Center 2600

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98, the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449 are submitted for consideration by the Examiner in the examination of the above-identified patent application. The full consideration of the references in their entirety by the Examiner is respectfully requested and encouraged. Also, it is respectfully requested that the references be entered into the record of the present application and that the Examiner place his or her initials in the appropriate area on the enclosed Form PTO-1449, thereby indicating the Examiner's consideration of each of the references.

A bona fide attempt is made to comply with §§ 1.97-98. The filing of this information disclosure statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, nor is it to be construed to be an admission that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in § 1.56(b). Additionally, the submission of this IDS is for the purpose of providing a complete record and is not a concession that the references are prior art to the invention claimed in the patent application. The right is expressly reserved to establish an invention date earlier than the above-identified filing date in order to remove any reference submitted herewith as prior art should it be deemed appropriate to do so. Furthermore, the submission of the references is not to be taken as a concession that any reference represents art that is relevant or analogous to the claimed invention. Accordingly, the right to argue that any reference is not properly within the scope of prior art relevant to an examination of the claims in the above-identified application is also expressly reserved.

In re ANINDYA CHAKRABORTY Application No. 09/813,576

The In	Under than a date of (3) before	§ 1.97(b): (1) within three months of the filing date of a national application other continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d); (2) within three months of the rentry of the national stage as set forth in § 1.491 in an international application; fore the mailing of a first Office action on the merits; or (4) before the mailing of a ffice action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.
	mailing	§ 1.97(c): after (1), (2), or (3) above (i.e., after paragraph (b)) and before the g date of any of a final action under § 1.113, a notice of allowance under § 1.311, ction that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and is accompanied by: the statement specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e): Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement; or That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.
		§ 1.97(d): after the period specified in § 1.97(c) and before payment of the issue d is accompanied by: the statement specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e): Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement; or That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.
		the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) (see "Fees" below).

In re ANINDYA CHAKRABORTY Application No. 09/813,576

Copies Of The References

concise explanation of the relevance, as it is designated in § 1.56(c) most knowledgeable patent, publication, or other information list included either separate from applicant's specific Furthermore, a copy of the translation of a number with if a written English-language translation.	about the content of the information, of each ed that is not in the English language is ecification or incorporated therein. on-English language reference is included		
A copy of a foreign search report is enclosed	l herewith.		
Per 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d), copies of the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449 are not provided as they were previously submitted to, or cited by, the Office in an earlier application, and (1) the earlier application is properly identified in the information disclosure statement and is relied on for an earlier effective filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120; and (2) the information disclosure statement submitted in the earlier application complies with paragraphs (a) through (c) of this 37 C.F.R. § 198. The details of the parent application(s) relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120 in which copies of the references were previously furnished are set out below:			
TIC ADDITICATIONS	Status (check one)		

U.S. APPLI	CATIONS	Status (check one)		
U.S. APPLICATIONS U.S. FILING DATE		PATENTED	PENDING	ABANDONED
1.				
2.				
3.				

In re ANINDYA CHAKRABORTY Application No. 09/813,576

Fees		OS Fee of \$18 Attached is c		in the amou . 501430 in t		of \$180.		
Autho			Additional Fees					
X	•	additional fees it Account No	s are owed in con	nnection wit	h this comn	nunication	, please cha	rge
X	-		501430 for any o	overpaymen	t in connect	ion with th	nis commun	ication.
Date:	Februar	y 21, 2002	Respectfully s	ubmitted,				
			The Law Office	ce of Kirk D.	Williams			
			By M	206	·			

DENVER, C0 80210-1713

Customer Number 26327 1234 S. OGDEN ST

Kirk D. Williams, Esq., Reg. 42,229

303-778-0748 (facsimile)

FORM PTO-14		PARTMENT OF	Application Number	09/813,576	
MODIFIED PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE				Filing Date	March 21, 2001
INFO	RMATION	DISCLOS	First Named Inventor	Anindya Chakraborty	
STAT	EMENT BY	Y APPLIC	Group Art Unit	2663	
,				Examiner Name	HYUN, SOON D
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket No.	43997

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
EXAMINER'S INITIALS	Cite No.	Document Number	ISSUE or PUBL. DATE (MM-DD-YYYY)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	
	AA	US - 5758150	05-26-1998	Bell et al.	
	AB	US - 5778388	07-07-1998	Kawamura et al.	
	AC	US - 5621721	04-15-1997	Vatuone	
	AD	US - 6205449	03-20-2001	Rastogi et al.	
	AE	US - 6230164	05-08-2001	Rekieta et al.	
	AF	US - 6282280	08-28-2001	Rekieta et al.	
	AG	US - 6330568	12-11-2001	Boothby et al.	

EXAMINER'S INITIALS	Cite No.	OTHER DOCUMENTS
	AH	CLARENCE A. ELLIS, "Consistency and Correctness of Duplicate Database Systems," Proceedings of Sixth ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles, November 1997, pp. 67-84.
	AI	THEODORE JOHNSON and PADMASHREE KRISHNA, "Lazy Updates for Distributed Search Structure," Proceedings of the 1993 ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, 1993, pp. 337-346.